

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/667,523	09/23/2003		Christoph Brabec	32860-000625/US	2570		
30596	7590	03/28/2006		EXAN	EXAMINER		
HARNESS, P.O.BOX 89		& PIERCE,	WEBB, CHR	WEBB, CHRISTOPHER G			
RESTON, VA 20195				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2884			

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~^
II.
ш
v

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/667,523	BRABEC ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Christopher G. Webb	2884

	Chi	ristopher G. Webb	2884	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REP	LY FILED <u>24 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE	
I. ⊠ The this plac a Re	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following ses the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with periods:	same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, aff of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) 🔲	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> -months from the mailing date of the The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Commonths of the Final Rejection. See MPEP 706.07	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailin DNLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions nave been under 37 Coset forth in nay reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on w filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensi FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). DF APPEAL	hich the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 on and the corresponding amount ened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of the Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with ENTS	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. 🛛 The (a) 🖸 (b) 🖸	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but particles. They raise new issues that would require further consider. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); They are not deemed to place the application in better for the second second.	eration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(d) [appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a corre NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 a		ected claims.	
5. 🔲 App	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Solicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
non-	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowatellowable claim(s).	•	•	-
how The Clai Clai	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) when the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \(m(s) \) allowed: \(m(s) \) objected to: \(m(s) \) rejected: \(\frac{1-34}{2} \).		ii be entered and an e	explanation of
Clair	m(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
3. 🔲 The beca	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but begause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and surnot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente shov	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco- wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	come <u>all</u> rejections under appe d was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of TFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. 🔲 The	e request for reconsideration has been considered but do	es NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTC	D/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
ıs. 🔲 Utr	ner:		DAVID PORTA	
			RVISORY PATENT EXA CHROLOGY/CE/7127/2	
		120		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additional limitation of a specific layer thickness range would require an additional search by the examiner.